arXiv:math/0501215v1 [math.CA] 14 Jan 2005

HAHN DECOMPOSITION AND RADON-NIKODYM THEOREM WITH A PARAMETER

D. NOVIKOV

Abstract. The paper contains a simple proof of the classical Hahn decomposition theorem for charges and, as a corollary, an explicit measurable in parameter construction of a Radon-Nikodym derivative of one measure by another.

1. Introduction

This text appeared as an answer to a question of E.B.Dynkin, and was initially meant to appear as an appendix to [1]. It contains a straightforward construction of a Hahn decomposition for a charge on a measurable space. As an application, we prove measurability by parameter of the Radon-Nikodym derivative of one measure by another.

A required in [1] slightly weaker result was later proved by a much shorter and less elementary arguments.

I would like to thank E.B.Dynkin for asking the question and for transforming the initial version of the text to a readable one.

1.1. Our goal is to prove

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{P}(d\nu)$ *is a probability measure on a Luzin measurable space* $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{M})$*, and let* $\mathcal{P}(\mu, C)$*,* $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$*,* $C \in \mathfrak{M}$ *be a probability measure in* C *and a* $\mathfrak{M}$*-measurable function in* μ*. If, for every* $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$*, the measure* $\mathcal{P}(\mu, \cdot)$ *is absolutely continuous with respect to* $\mathcal{P}$*, then there exists a* $\mathfrak{M}\times\mathfrak{M}$*-measurable version of the Radon-Nikodym derivative* $\frac{\mathcal{P}(\mu,d\nu)}{\mathcal{P}(d\nu)}$*.*

Every function $f : \mathfrak{M}\times\mathfrak{M} \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ can be characterized by a countable family of sets $S_r = \{f \le r\}$ where $r \ge 0$ are rationals. Namely,

$$f(\mu,\nu) = \inf\{r : (\mu,\nu) \in S_r\}. \tag{1.1}$$

This way we establish a 1-1 correspondence between f and families S_r with the properties:

1.1.A. $S_r \subset S_{r'}$ for any $r < r'$,
1.1.B. $\bigcup_r S_r = \mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{M}$

A function f is $\mathfrak{M}\times\mathfrak{M}$-measurable if and only if all S_r belong to $\mathfrak{M}$.

1.2. First, we prove

Lemma 1.1. *Assume that the family* $S_r \in \mathfrak{M}$ *satisfies the conditions 1.1.A–1.1.B and that* f *is defined by* (1.1)*. Put* $S_r(\mu) = S_r \cap (\{\mu\}\times\mathcal{M})$*. If*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\mu, d\nu) &\le r\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \quad \text{on } S_r(\mu),\\ \mathcal{P}(\mu, d\nu) &> r\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{M}\setminus S_r(\mu), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

then f *is a version of* $\frac{\mathcal{P}(\mu,d\nu)}{\mathcal{P}(d\nu)}$*.*

[We write $\mathcal{P}'(d\nu) \ge \mathcal{P}''(d\nu)$ on B if $\mathcal{P}'(A) \ge \mathcal{P}''(A)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $A \subset B$. The condition (1.2) means that $S_r(\mu), \mathcal{M}\setminus S_r(\mu)$ is a Hahn decomposition of the charge $\mathcal{P}(\mu,\cdot) - r\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$.]

Proof. We need to demonstrate that, for every $A \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\mathcal{P}(\mu, A) = \int_A f\mathcal{P}(d\nu)$. Fix $\delta > 0$ and introduce a function

$$f_\delta(\mu,\nu) = m\delta \quad \text{on } \{m\delta \le f < (m+1)\delta\}, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \tag{1.3}$$

By (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\mu, d\nu) &\le (m+1)\delta\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \quad \text{on } \{f < (m+1)\delta\}, \\ \mathcal{P}(\mu, d\nu) &> m\delta\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \quad \text{on } \{f \ge m\delta\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

By (1.3) and (1.4),

$$\mathcal{P}(\mu, d\nu) > f_\delta\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \ge m/(m+1)\mathcal{P}(\mu, d\nu) \quad \text{on } \{m\delta \le f < (m+1)\delta\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Since $m/(m+1)$ is monotone increasing,

$$f_\delta\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \ge (n+1)/(n+2)\mathcal{P}(\mu, d\nu) \quad \text{on } \{f > (n+1)\delta\}. \tag{1.6}$$

We have $\int_A f_\delta\mathcal{P}(d\nu) = I_\delta + J_\delta$ where

$$I_\delta = \int_A f_\delta 1_{f_\delta \le n\delta}\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \le n\delta \tag{1.7}$$

and $J_\delta = \int_A f_\delta 1_{f_\delta > n\delta}\mathcal{P}(d\nu)$. Since $\{f_\delta > n\delta\} \supset \{f > (n+1)\delta\}$, we have, by (1.6),

$$J_\delta \ge \int_A f_\delta 1_{f > (n+1)\delta}\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \ge (n+1)/(n+2)\mathcal{P}(\mu, A \cap \{f > (n+1)\delta\}) \tag{1.8}$$

Since $\mathcal{P}(\mu, d\nu) \le (n+1)\delta\mathcal{P}(d\nu)$ on $\{f \le (n+1)\delta\}$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}(\mu, A \cap \{f > (n+1)\delta\}) \ge \mathcal{P}(\mu, A) - \mathcal{P}(\mu, \{f \le (n+1)\delta\}) \ge \mathcal{P}(\mu, A) - (n+1)\delta, \tag{1.9}$$

and we get

$$J_\delta \ge (n+1)/(n+2)\left(\mathcal{P}(\mu, A) - (n+1)\delta\right). \tag{1.10}$$

Let $\delta \to 0$.Then $f_\delta \uparrow f$. By (1.7), $I_\delta \to 0$ and therefore $J_\delta \to \int_A f\mathcal{P}(d\nu)$. It follows from (1.10) that $\int_A f\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \ge (n+1)/(n+2)\mathcal{P}(\mu, A)$. By tending n to ∞ we get $\int_A f\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \ge \mathcal{P}(\mu, A)$. On the other hand, by (1.5), $\int_A f\mathcal{P}(d\nu) \le \mathcal{P}(\mu, A)$. □

2. CONSTRUCTION OF FAMILY S_r

2.1. **On Hahn decomposition.** Assume that λ is a charge of bounded variation on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{A})$. Hahn decomposition corresponding to λ is a representation of X as a disjoint union of two sets X_- and X_+, such that $\lambda(A) \ge 0$ for all measurable $A \subset X_+$, and $\lambda(A) \ge 0$ for all measurable $A \subset X_-$. We construct such a decomposition in a way to be used for constructing sets S_r.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\beta = \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda(A)$ *and let* $E_k \subset \mathcal{A}$ *be such that* $\lambda(E_k) < \beta + \epsilon_k$*, where* $\epsilon_k > 0$ *and* $\sum \epsilon_k < \infty$*. Put*

$$X_m = \bigcap_{k \ge m} E_k.$$

The pair

$$X_- = \bigcup_{m \ge 1} X_m, \quad X_+ = X \setminus X_- \tag{2.1}$$

is a Hahn decomposition corresponding to λ*.*

Proof. 1°. Note that, if $A \subset E_k$, then $\beta \le \ll \{E_k \setminus A\} = \ll (E_k) - \ll (A) < \beta + \varepsilon_k - \ll (A)$ and therefore $\ll (A) < \varepsilon_k$. Hence, if $A \subset X_m$, then $\ll (A) \le 0$ which implies that $\ll (A) \le 0$ for all subsets A of X_-.

2°. First, note that

$$\lambda(A_1 \cap A_2) \le \lambda(A_1) + \lambda(A_2) - \beta \quad \text{for all } A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.2}$$

This follows from the identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll (A_1) &= \lambda(A_1 \setminus A_2) + \lambda(A_1 \cap A_2), \\ \ll (A_2) &= \lambda(A_2 \setminus A_1) + \lambda(A_1 \cap A_2), \\ \lambda(A_1 \setminus A_2) + \lambda(A_1 \cap A_2) + \lambda(A_2 \setminus A_1) &= \lambda(A_1 \cup A_2) \ge \beta \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ll (E_k) \leq \beta + \varepsilon_k$, (2.2) implies, by induction in ℓ, that $\lambda(E_m + \cdots + E_{m+\ell}) \leq \beta + \varepsilon_m + \cdots + \varepsilon_{m+\ell}$ and therefore $\lambda(X_m) \leq \beta + \varepsilon^m$ where $\varepsilon^m = \sum_{k \geq m} \epsilon_k$.

Now, suppose that $\lambda(A) = -\delta < 0$ for some $A \subset X_+$, and take m such that $\varepsilon^m < \delta$. Then, since $A \cap X_- = \emptyset$ and, therefore, $A \cap X_m = \emptyset$, we get

$$\lambda(A \cup X_m) = \lambda(A) + \lambda(X_m) < \beta$$

which contradicts the definition of β. □

2.2. Measurable decomposition related to charge depending on parameter.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\lambda(\mu, \cdot)$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, be a family of charges on a Luzin measurable space $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{M})$. Assume that $\lambda(\mu, A)$ is a $\mathfrak{M}$-measurable function of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then there exists a set $X_- \in \mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{M}$, such that its sections $X_-(\mu) = X_- \cap (\{\mu\} \times \mathcal{M})$ define Hahn decomposition $X_-(\mu), \mathcal{M} \setminus X_-(\mu)$ of the charge $\lambda(\mu, cdot)$.*

Proof. Let $\{I_n\} \subset \mathfrak{M}$, $I_1 = \emptyset$, be a countable family of subsets of $\mathcal{M}$ with the following properties

- **C** for any $A \in \mathfrak{M}$, any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists $n = n(A, \epsilon, \mu)$i such that $\lambda(\mu, A \setminus I_n) + \lambda(\mu, I_n \setminus A) < \epsilon$

Finite unions of intervals with rational endpoints form such a family for $[0, 1]$ with Borel $\mathcal{A}$-algebra. Therefore such a family exists for any Luzin measurable space.

Denote $\beta(\mu) = \inf_n \lambda(\mu, I_n)$. Since $\lambda(\mu, I_n)$ are $\mathfrak{M}$-measurable functions of μ, the $\beta(\mu)$ is also $\mathfrak{M}$-measurable.

Choose a sequence of positive ϵ_k such that $\sum \epsilon_k < \infty$. Define

$$E_k = \bigcup_{N \geq 1} A_{N,k} \times I_N,$$

where $A_{N,k}$ is the set of all μ such that N is the smallest number with the property $\lambda(\mu, I_N) < \beta(\mu) + \epsilon_k$.

Note that for any fixed μ the family of sections $\{E_k(\mu)\}_{k \geq 1}$, $E_k(\mu) = E_k \cap (\{\mu\} \times \mathcal{M})$, satisfy conditions of Theorem 2.1:

$$\lambda(\mu, E_k(\mu)) < \beta(\mu) + \epsilon(k).$$

Therefore, if we put

$$X_m = \bigcap_{k \geq m} E_k, \qquad X_- = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} X_m \subset \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M},$$

then the sections $X_-(\mu)$ define Hahn decomposition of $\lambda(\mu, \cdot)$ for any fixed μ.

Let us prove that $X_- \in \mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{M}$. It is enough to prove that $A_{N,k} \in \mathfrak{M}$. The set $Y_{n,k} = \{\mu | \lambda(\mu, I_n) - \beta(\mu) < \epsilon_k\}$ is $\mathfrak{M}$-measurable since both $\lambda(\mu, I_n)$ and $\beta(\mu)$ are $\mathfrak{M}$-measurable. Therefore

$$A_{N,k} = Y_{N,k} \setminus \bigcup_{n < N} Y_{n,k} \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

□

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let X_-^r be the sets constructed in Theorem 2.2 for the charge $\mathcal{P}(\mu, \cdot) - r\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$. By construction, they satisfy the condition 1.2. The following modification of X_-^r satisfies in addition the conditions 1.1.A-1.1.B, and, therefore, defines a $\mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{M}$-measurable version of Radon-Nikodym derivative. Put

$$S_0 = (\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}) \setminus \left(\bigcup_r X_-^r \right), \quad S_r = \left(\bigcup_{r' \leq r} X_-^{r'} \right) \cup S_0, \tag{2.3}$$

where r, r' denote non-negative rational numbers.

Evidently, $S_r \in \mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{M}$. Evidently, $S_{r'} \subseteq S_r$ for $r' < r$, so the condition 1.1.B holds. Since $X_-^r \subset S_r$ and by definition of S_0 the condition 1.1.B holds as well.

Let us check the conditions of the Lemma 1.1, i.e. that $S_r(\mu), \mathcal{M} \setminus S_r(\mu)$ is a Hahn decomposition of the charge $\mathcal{P}(\mu, \cdot) - r\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$.

Note that

$$S_r(\mu)\setminus X_-^r(\mu)=S_0(\mu)\cup\bigcup_{r'\le r}\left(X_-^{r'}(\mu)\setminus X_-^r(\mu)\right), \tag{2.4}$$

and $X_-^r(\mu)$ define a Hahn decomposition of $\mathcal{P}(\mu,\cdot)-r\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that all the sets on the right side are disjoint from the support of $\mathcal{P}(\mu,\cdot)-r\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$. Since $\mathcal{P}(\mu,\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$, it is enough to prove that all sets on the right hand side of 2.4 are disjoint from the support of $\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$.

By definition of S_0, $\mathcal{P}(\mu,\cdot)-r\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$ is non-negative on $S_0(\mu)$ for any $r\ge 0$. This is possible only if $\mathcal{P}$ is zero on $S_0(\mu)$.

The charge $\mathcal{P}(\mu,\cdot)-r\mathcal{P}$ is non-negative on $X_-^{r'}(\mu)\setminus X_-^r(\mu)$, and the charge

$$\mathcal{P}(\mu,\cdot)-r'\mathcal{P}$$

is non-positive on $X_-^{r'}(\mu)\setminus X_-^r(\mu)$. For $r'<r$ this is possible only if $\mathcal{P}$ is zero on $X_-^{r'}(\mu)\setminus X_-^r(\mu)$. ☐

This construction can be repeated almost word-by-word to prove

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose $\{\lambda_x,\mu_x\}$ are two families of measure on a measurable space $(Y,\mathcal{A}_Y)$ and the parameter x belongs to a measurable space $(X,\mathcal{A}_X)$. Assume that for each x the measure μ_x is absolutely continuous with respect to λ_x.*

Assume that λ_x,μ_x are measurable functions in x: for any $A\in\sigma_Y$ the functions $\lambda_x(A)$ and $\mu_x(A)$ are σ_x-measurable.

Assume that there exists a countable family $\{S_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\sigma_Y$ such that for any $A\in\sigma_Y$, any $x\in X$, and any $\epsilon>0$ one can find $S_n=S_n(A,x,\epsilon)$ such that $\lambda_x(A\Delta S_n)<\epsilon$ and $\mu_x(A\Delta S_n)<\epsilon$.

Then there exists a $\sigma_X\times\sigma_Y$-measurable version of the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{\mu_x}{\lambda_x}$.

REFERENCES

[1] E.B.Dynkin, *X-harmonic functions*, preprint